

BALTIMORE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS

DATE: May 10, 2011
TO: **BOARD OF EDUCATION**
FROM: Dr. Joe A. Hairston, Superintendent
SUBJECT: **LEGISLATION SUMMARY**
ORIGINATOR: Edward J. Novak, Esq., Manager, Governmental and External Relations

INFORMATION

That the Board of Education reviews the final report on the 2011 General Assembly and Key School Legislation

* * * * *

Denotes – New Information

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

May10, 2011

Background Information

The 428th Session of the Maryland General Assembly began on January 12, 2011, and adjourned SINE DIE on April 11, 2011. There were a total of 2,370 legislative introductions: 998 Senate Bills, 1,355 House Bills, 11 Joint House Resolutions, and 6 Joint Senate Resolutions.

Discussion

This Report presents the final information regarding the disposition of the following bills which were previously presented to the Board of Education. **The first section** contains bills that the Board considered and adopted a formal position. **The second section** contains bills that were provided for information only with no Board position requested.

SECTION 1: BOE POSITION

BILL	TITLE / SYNOPSIS	POSITION
HB 1	<p>Education - Youth Athletes - Concussions</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate Davis</p> <p>Requiring county boards of education and the Maryland Public Secondary Schools Athletic Association jointly to establish guidelines and develop forms to educate individuals regarding concussions and head injury; requiring specified individuals to sign information sheets; requiring the removal of youth athletes from play under specified circumstances; prohibiting the return to play under specified circumstances; requiring youth sports programs to provide statements of compliance; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 03/02/11 – Hearing held by House Ways and Committee; No further action taken Senate - No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0001f.pdf</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">SUPPORT (with MABE Amendments)</p> <p>The bill would require each county school board and the Maryland Public Secondary Schools Athletic Association to jointly establish guidelines for the evaluation and management of concussion and head injury that will help to educate coaches, youth athletes, and their parents and/or guardians regarding:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nature and risk of concussion and head injury; 2. Criteria for removal from and return to play; and 3. Risks of not reporting injury and continuing to play. <p>The guidelines shall: (1) on an annual basis, require that a concussion and head injury information sheet be signed and returned by a youth athlete and his/her parent/guardian before the youth athlete initiates practice or competition; (2) require the removal of a youth athlete from a practice or a game when the youth athlete is suspected of sustaining a concussion or head injury in the practice or the game; and (3) prohibit a youth athlete who has been removed from play from returning to play until the youth athlete:</p>

BILL	TITLE / SYNOPSIS	POSITION
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has been evaluated by a licensed health care provider or a volunteer health care provider trained in the evaluation and management of concussions; and • Has obtained written clearance to return to play from the health care provider. • The MABE amendments provide useful clarification to bill language.

<p>HB 12</p>	<p>Procurement - Employee Uniforms and Equipment - Place of Manufacture</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate Beitzel</p> <p>Prohibiting a public employer, under specified circumstances, from knowingly buying, furnishing, or requiring an employee to buy or acquire for use while on duty specified uniforms and other equipment unless the uniforms or equipment are manufactured in the United States; requiring the Board of Public Works to adopt specified regulations; and applying the Act prospectively.</p> <p>Bill Status: Passed; Awaiting Action by the Governor House – 03/04/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments Senate – 03/22/11 – Hearing held by the House Health and Government Operations Committee; 04/01/11 – Third Reader Passed</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0012t.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This bill would prohibit public employers from knowingly purchasing, providing, or requiring employees to purchase uniforms or safety equipment/accessories manufactured outside of the United States unless an item is not available or manufactured in the United States in reasonably available quantities or the cost of an item made in the United States is unreasonably more expensive than an item not manufactured here or the quality of the item made in the United States is substantially less than a comparably priced, similar item. The Board of Public Works shall adopt regulations to define certain terms used in this law. The law will be effective October 1, 2011.</p>
---------------------	---	--

<p>HB 26</p>	<p>Education Funding Formula - Average Daily Attendance</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate O'Donnell</p> <p>Requiring specified enrollment calculations used for education aid to be based on the average daily attendance in specified school years; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 02/09/11 – Hearing held by House Ways Committee; no further action taken Senate – no action taken</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This bill has been introduced in prior General Assembly sessions. If passed, it would change the method by which state education aid is distributed. Currently, state funding is calculated using the official full-time equivalent enrollment counts of school systems as of September 30 each year. The bill would reduce that calculation by the average percentage of students absent each day during the second prior fiscal year. In 2010, the fiscal note on HB 1154 (an identical bill) indicated that Baltimore County Public Schools (BCPS)</p>
---------------------	--	---

	http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0026f.pdf	<p>would experience an estimated \$18 million reduction in state aid. MABE has estimated that the FY 2011 statewide reduction to the foundation funding would be approximately \$170 million; the state standard attendance rate is 94%. The projected impact on BCPS could result in reduced funding of approximately \$20 million annually. Although BCPS does not report an attendance rate in our 2009-2010 Report on Results, 76% of all BCPS schools attain this standard, suggesting that the potential impact on BCPS funding would be greater than projected. There would also be additional record keeping costs for compliance.</p>
--	---	--

<p>HB 44 SB 53</p>	<p>Education - Waiver from Maintenance of Effort Requirement - Process and Factors</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Jones, Barve, Conway, Hixson, Holmes, McIntosh, O'Donnell, Ross, and Schuh</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Kasemeyer, Currie, DeGrange, Garagiola, Kittleman, Madaleno, McFadden, and Middleton</p> <p>Altering the date by which a county governing body must make a request to the State Board of Education for a waiver from the maintenance of effort requirement; requiring the State Board to consider specified factors when making a decision whether to grant a waiver; requiring the State Superintendent of Schools to make a preliminary assessment of waiver applications by a specified time; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Bills Did Not Pass House Bill: House – 02/09/11 – Hearing held by House Ways and Means Committee; 4/7/11 - Third Reading Passed Senate – 4/6/11 – referred to the Senate Rules Committee; no further action Senate Bill: Senate – 02/02/11 – Hearing held by Senate Budget and Taxation Committee; No further action House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0044t.pdf</p>	<p>SUPPORT</p> <p>These are cross-filed bills. The bills reflect the agreement reached in Conference Committee near the end of the 2010 General Assembly session. The provisions of the bills are essentially designed to enhance the MOE waiver process, reflecting recommendations previously made by the Joint Legislative Workgroup to Study State, County, and Municipal Fiscal Relationships. The bills do not provide a blanket waiver of maintenance of effort. The current MOE waiver process would be maintained, including the authority of the Maryland State Board of Education (MSBE) to grant individual waiver requests after a hearing before MSBE.</p> <p>The bills would require that the Maryland State Superintendent of Schools “provide a preliminary assessment of a waiver application” to MSBE prior to a public hearing. The filing deadline for a waiver request would be extended to April 20, which would allow local government additional time to evaluate the level of state funding approved by the General Assembly (the date for MSBE action would be changed to May 20). The bills would incorporate into law the factors for consideration of a waiver request that are currently in the regulations and add three more factors. Both bills were introduced as emergency bills (would be effective upon enactment).</p>
--------------------------------------	--	--

<p>HB 48</p>	<p>State Government - Open Meetings Act - Notice and Complaints</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Morhaim, Kipke, Pena-Melnyk, and Tarrant</p> <p>Requiring a complaint filed with the State Open Meetings Law Compliance Board to be filed within 1 year after the action that is the basis for the complaint; altering specified notice requirements; requiring a public body to give notice of a meeting by specified methods under specified circumstances; authorizing a public body to give notice of a meeting by specified additional methods; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 03/01/11 – Third Reading Passed Senate – 03/23/11 – Hearing held by House Health and Government Operations Committee; 03/31/11 – Senate Third Reading Passed (with Amendments); 04/06/11 - House did not adopt Amendments; 4/8/11 - Senate refused to remove Amendments</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0048t.pdf</p>	<p>SUPPORT</p> <p>This bill would change the Open Meetings Act to require that public bodies post notices of their meetings on a Web site, post notices at a location accessible to the public regularly used for posting notices, and by delivering notices to the news media. BCPS currently provides Web site meeting notice and the issuance of meeting notices to the media. The bill would establish a requirement that any complaint filed under the Open Meetings Act must be filed within a one-year period (no specific statute of limitations for this action currently exists). This limitation could increase efficiency in this process by establishing a date certain for complaints and related administrative activities.</p>
---------------------	---	---

<p>HB 73</p>	<p>Vehicle Laws - School Buses - Prohibition on Permitting Sitting on Floor or Standing</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate Walker</p> <p>Prohibiting a person who is responsible for pupils on a school bus from permitting any pupil to stand while the bus is in motion; prohibiting a person who is responsible for pupils on a school bus from permitting any pupil to sit on the floor of the school bus; establishing a fine; providing for exceptions; providing that a specified violation is not considered a moving violation for specified purposes; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 03/17/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by House Environmental Matters Committee</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0073f.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This bill has been introduced in several prior legislative sessions. While the intent of the legislation seems logical, it poses practical concerns. Although current law allows one student per a row of seats to stand on a bus, BCPS does not authorize students to sit on the floor of a school bus. However, operating conditions can result in situations where students may be standing. Examples include the beginning of the school year when buses may be overcrowded until any overcapacity route issues are addressed and mechanical problems that require the dispatching of another bus, or buses that may require carrying more students than normally planned.</p>
---------------------	--	--

<p>HB 127 SB 262</p>	<p>State Board of Education - Financial Literacy Curriculum - Graduation Requirement</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Walker, Alston, Barnes, Boteler, Braveboy, Bromwell, Cane, Cardin, Davis, Feldman, Frick, Frush, George, Haddaway-Riccio,</p>	<p>NO POSITION</p> <p>This bill is the same bill that has been introduced in past General Assembly Sessions (including HB 335 and SB 264 in 2010). It would require that a financial literacy course</p>
--	---	---

	<p>Holmes, Hough, Howard, Hubbard, Kipke, Love, A. Miller, Niemann, Oaks, <u>Olszewski</u>, Pena-Melnyk, Proctor, Ross, Schuh, Sophocleus, Stocksdale, Stukes, Tarrant, F. Turner, Vaughn, Washington, Wilson, and Zucker</p> <p>Introduced by Senators <u>Klausmeier</u>, Benson, Colburn, DeGrange, Forehand, Garagiola, Jones-Rodwell, <u>Kasemeyer</u>, <u>Kelley</u>, Madaleno, Montgomery, Muse, Raskin, Shank, and <u>Stone</u></p> <p>Requiring the State Board of Education to develop curriculum content for a course in financial literacy; requiring each county board of education to implement the financial literacy curriculum content developed by the State Board in every high school in the county; and requiring students to complete a course in financial literacy in order to graduate from high school.</p> <p>Bill Status: Bills Did Not Pass</p> <p>House Bill: House – 02/17/11 – Hearing held by House Ways and Means Committee; No further action Senate – No action taken</p> <p>Senate Bill: Senate – 02/16/11 – Hearing held by the Senate Education, Heath and Environmental Affairs Committee; 04/04/11 – Third Reading Passed (with amendments) House – 04/09/11 – referred by the House Rules Committee to the House Ways and Means Committee</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0127f.pdf</p>	<p>become a high school graduation requirement. MSBE, with consideration of the General Assembly’s “Task Force to Study How to Improve Financial Literacy in the State,” has established financial literacy content standards to be incorporated, as appropriate, through the entire curriculum. Although the Board of Education of Baltimore County (Board) has historically opposed the imposition of unfunded mandates, the Board previously adopted a “No Position” with regard to the 2010 bills because of the significance of financial literacy in preparing students for consumer and business needs in the future.</p>
--	--	--

<p>HB 160</p>	<p>Baltimore County Public Schools - Funding Accountability and Transparency Act</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates <u>Lafferty</u>, <u>Aumann</u>, <u>Boteler</u>, <u>Cardin</u>, <u>Cluster</u>, <u>DeBoy</u>, <u>Frank</u>, <u>Kach</u>, <u>Morhaim</u>, <u>Stein</u>, and <u>Weir</u></p> <p>Requiring the Baltimore County Board of Education to develop and operate a specified Web site that includes information about specified payments; specifying parameters of the Web site; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Passed – Signed by Governor on 04/12/11 (Chapter 105) House – 03/26/11 – Third Reading Passed (with Amendments) Senate - 03/25/11 - First Reading; Third Reading Passed</p>	<p>NO POSITION</p> <p>The law requires BCPS to develop and operate a single, searchable Web site available to the public at no cost. The Web site must be created no later than January 1, 2013. Additionally, the Web site must provide information regarding aggregate payment of \$25,000 in a fiscal year made by the Board of Education to a payee and include information</p>
----------------------	---	--

	http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/chapters_noln/Ch_105_hb0160T.pdf	<p>regarding the name of the payee, the payee's zip code, the amount of payment made to the payee during each fiscal year (beginning with FY 2012), the purpose of the payment, and whether the payee is a minority business enterprise. The Act is effective July 1, 2011.</p>
--	---	---

<p>HB 231 SB 123</p>	<p>Education - Public Charter School Facility Revolving Loan Fund</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate Costa</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Astle, Reilly, and Simonaire</p> <p>Establishing the Public Charter School Facility Revolving Loan Fund; stating the purpose of the Fund; authorizing specified applicants to obtain loans from the Fund; requiring the State Board of Education to administer the Fund and to consider specified factors when evaluating loan applications; specifying loan amounts; requiring the State Board to report specified information to county boards of education each fiscal year; specifying provisions relating to loan repayment; requiring the State Board to adopt regulations; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Bills Did Not Pass House Bill: House – 03/24/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by House Appropriations Committee Senate – No action taken Senate Bill: Senate – 03/14/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0231f.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This bill would establish a Revolving Loan Fund. It is similar to a bill introduced in the 2010 General Assembly. Proposed loans would be available to charter schools (upon application to MSBE) for no more than \$100,000 annually (not to exceed \$500,000 over a five-year period). A loan could be used to pay for the construction, purchase, renovation, and/or maintenance of a public charter school facility.</p> <p>The bill would require that the Governor allocate \$400,000 from the Capital Improvement Program of the Public School Construction Program. This is particularly problematic in a fiscal year when funding for K-12 education is being challenged. Lastly, a provision in the bill states “If a public charter school defaults or is unable to repay a loan” the county or county board may be required to repay the remaining balance or interest on the loan,” thereby exposing the Board to potential liability.</p>
--	---	---

<p>HB 364</p>	<p>Student Participation in High School Sports - Academic Requirements</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate Walker</p> <p>Requiring the State Board of Education to adopt regulations to establish academic performance standards that students in public high schools in the State must meet in order to participate in high school athletic</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This law would require the Maryland State Board of Education (MSBE), in consultation with local boards of education, to report to the appropriate General Assembly Standing Committees regarding the minimum academic performance standards for high school students to meet</p>
----------------------	---	--

<p>competitions; requiring the regulations to include specified provisions; and requiring county boards of education to allow public high school students in the county to participate in specified high school athletic competitions only in accordance with the regulations of the State Board.</p> <p>Bill Status: Passed and Enrolled: Awaiting Action by the Governor House – 03/26/11 – Third Reading Passed; 04/08/11 - House concurred with Senate Amendments – Third Reading Passed Senate - 03/25/11 - First Reading; 04/06/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0364e.pdf</p>	<p>to be eligible to participate in school athletic competitions. The report shall include recommendations regarding required curriculum content, minimum grade point average and grade progress that a student must satisfy to maintain participation.</p>
---	---

<p>HB 386 SB 667</p>	<p>Education - Tween/Teen Dating Violence (Kristin Marie Mitchell Law)</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Carter, Anderson, Carr, Dumais, Ivey, Love, McComas, Oaks, Pena-Melnyk, Simmons, Stukes, F. Turner, and Walker Walker</p> <p>Introduce by Senator Muse</p> <p>Requiring the State Board of Education to adopt in the public schools a program to educate students about dating violence on or before a specified date; requiring the program to include education on services provided to victims of dating violence; altering the definition of "victim of domestic violence" for specified provisions of law; requiring the Governor to proclaim the first week in February each year "Tween/Teen Dating Violence Education and Awareness Week"; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Bills Did Not Pass House Bill: House – 03/02/11 – Hearing held by the House Ways and Means Committee; no further action taken Senate – No action taken Senate Bill: Senate – 03/25/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by the Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0386f.pdf</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">OPPOSE</p> <p>This bill is not needed. The bill’s focus area involves dating violence; school systems already provide information regarding this subject using MSDE’s health education curriculum that begins in Grade 4 and continues through high school. Providing students with age-appropriate information regarding dating violence has also been supported by continuing MSDE funding (Sexual Harassment and Assault Grant) to LEAs. Historically, MSDE’s health education office has not endorsed any particular program, but has allowed LEAs to identify and implement programs based upon school system needs regarding any particular content area involving the health education and other curricula.</p> <p>The bill intends to add the definition of “victim of domestic violence” to include “or a person with whom the individual has or has had a dating relationship,” but does not define what constitutes a “dating relationship.” This could lead to confusion in administering the law if enacted because the interpretation and nature of “dating” varies.</p> <p>Lastly, legislation is not required for the Governor to exercise his existing authority to issue a Proclamation to designate a week in February each year as Tween/Teen Dating Violence Education and Awareness Week.</p>
--	---	---

<p>HB 398 SB 397</p>	<p>Task Force on the Method of Selecting the Baltimore County Board of Education</p> <p>Introduced by <u>Baltimore County Delegation</u></p> <p>Introduced by Senator <u>Klausmeier</u></p> <p>Establishing the Task Force on the Method of Selecting the Baltimore County Board of Education; providing for the chairs and staff for the Task Force; prohibiting a member of the Task Force from receiving compensation but entitling members to reimbursement for specified expenses; requiring the Task Force to make specified findings and recommendations regarding the method of selecting the Baltimore City Board of Education; providing for the termination of the Act; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Both Bills Passed and Enrolled; Awaiting Action by the Governor</p> <p>House Bill: House – 03/26/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments Senate - 03/25/11 - First Reading; 04/05/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments</p> <p>Senate Bill: Senate – 03/17/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments; 04/08/11 – Senate Concurred with House Amendments, Third Reading Passed House – 03/30/11 – Hearing; Third Reading Passed (with Amendments)</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0398e.pdf</p>	<p>SUPPORT</p> <p>The law will require the creation of a 13-member task force to study the membership and operation of the Baltimore County Board of Education. The task force will be composed of four members from the Maryland Senate, four members from the House of Delegates, three members appointed by the House of Delegates, one member appointed by the Baltimore County Council Chair, and one member from MABE. The task force shall conduct at least three public hearings in geographically diverse areas of Baltimore County to receive public and stakeholder, which will include comments from a list of specified stakeholders and report on the ideal size of the Board, the most appropriate method of selection of members, the appropriate phase-in for any changes to the current appointment process, and to improve the transparency and professionalism of the Board no later than October 1, 2011. The task force’s findings and recommendations shall be submitted to the Governor, the State School Superintendent, the Baltimore County Executive and County Council, the Baltimore County Board of Education, and the Baltimore County Senate and House Delegation. This law will be effective on July 1, 2011.</p>
--	---	--

<p>HB 500</p>	<p>Public Schools - Extracurricular Activities - Students in Home Instruction Programs</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates <u>Kach</u> and <u>McDonough</u></p> <p>Authorizing a public school to allow students in home instruction programs to participate in specified extracurricular activities under specified circumstances; requiring public schools to give placement priority to enrolled students if there are a limited number of spaces available for students in extracurricular activities; defining "extracurricular activity"; etc.</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>School system interscholastic athletics and extracurricular activities are conducted under regulations of the MSDE. Such activities are a part of and contribute toward the objectives of the state’s entire regular educational program which is quite different from the program involving students in home instruction.</p> <p>Extra-curricular activities, particularly, athletics is integral to the daily school life. Such programs build individual, team, and school</p>
----------------------	--	---

	<p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 03/02/11 – Hearing held by the House Ways and Means Committee; no further action Senate – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0500f.pdf</p>	<p>spirit and purpose, which are interdependent with classroom attendance, lunch, and other regular activities. The nature of the home school program does not provide students with the same exposure to such activities and interactions with students regularly enrolled.</p> <p>Inclusion of non-regularly enrolled students in these activities would require additional administrative personnel to monitor academic eligibility (there are different grading processes) and the participation of the non-enrolled participants.</p> <p>It is also important to note that MSDE funding is based on student enrollment in schools as of each September 30. Because home schooled students are not present in the schools for this count, school systems did not receive regular enrollment derived funds for those students.</p>
--	--	---

<p>HB 685 SB 549</p>	<p>Education - Bullying Safety Risks and Health Problems - Parental Information</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Kaiser, Barkley, Burns, Cardin, Cullison, DeBoy, Feldman, Frush, Gaines, Gilchrist, Holmes, Jameson, A. Kelly, Kramer, Lafferty, Luedtke, McIntosh, Mizeur, Morhaim, Reznik, S. Robinson, Simmons, Stein, Summers, V. Turner, Valentino-Smith, and Waldstreicher</p> <p>Introduced by Senators King, Forehand, Garagiola, Montgomery, Peters, Raskin, and Robey</p> <p>Requiring the State Department of Education, in collaboration with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, to provide specified information by a specified date to county boards of education relating to specified safety risks and health problems; requiring specified schools to send specified information to specified parents and guardians; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass</p> <p>House Bill: House – 03/25/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments; Unfavorable Report by Senate Education, Health, & Environmental Affairs Committee Senate - 03/25/11 - First Reading</p> <p>Senate Bill: Senate – 03/21/11 – Unfavorable Report; no further</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>BCPS has a current process to handle students exhibiting any symptoms of health problems or safety risks as a result of bullying. Such concerns or risks are assessed, addressed with parents, and referred to a primary care provider, if needed. Legislative changes in the past few years in this area have resulted in the creation of a model policy regarding bullying and enhanced reporting involving bullying. This bill would result in additional staff work without producing any meaningful change in the current process.</p>
--	---	---

	<p>action House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0685f.pdf</p>	
--	--	--

<p>HB 977</p>	<p>Public Schools - Enrollment and Transfer - Parental Decision</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Olszewski, Aumann, Barnes, Bates, Boteler, Branch, Bromwell, Cardin, Clagett, Clippinger, DeBoy, Elliott, Frank, George, Glass, Guzzone, Haddaway-Riccio, Healey, Hershey, Hixson, Howard, Impallaria, Kipke, Luedtke, McMillan, A. Miller, Minnick, Myers, O'Donnell, B. Robinson, Rosenberg, Ross, Schuh, Schulz, Serafini, Smigiel, Stocksdale, Stukes, Summers, Walker, Weir, and Wood</p> <p>Authorizing the parent or guardian of a student eligible for enrollment in specified public schools to decide to enroll the student in a public school other than the public school to which the student was assigned by a county board of education, beginning in the 2012- 2013 school year; requiring all public schools to be open to specified students on a space-available basis under specified circumstances; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 03/02/11 – Hearing held by the House Ways & Means Committee; no further action Senate: No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0977f.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This bill is problematic from numerous perspectives.</p> <p>Although the bill requires a parent/guardian to provide transportation to a school selected for attendance under the enrollment system to be created, the proposed process represents a fundamental change in the public school system and the historic nature of the concept of the neighborhood school and its interrelationship to the community. The change could disadvantage children whose families are not financially able to provide alternative transportation to a non-neighborhood school.</p> <p>The bill requires that a listing of schools with available slots be compiled as of May 1 annually. A one-time snapshot of enrollment and availability of slots is problematic because there are numerous variables that can affect enrollment projections for the next immediate school year. The bill would require that any child transferred under the newly created process would be allowed to continue to attend the selected school through all grade levels operating at that specific school. This situation would further complicate effective school enrollment planning and projections.</p> <p>This bill could complicate already difficult tracking processes regarding academic performance requirements under “<i>No Child Left Behind Act of 2001</i>”.</p> <p>BCPS, like many school systems, has a special transfer process that allows parents to request that a child attend a school other than their residence-zoned school under certain circumstances.</p>
----------------------	---	--

<p>HB 1062</p>	<p>Public Schools - Meal Menus - Nutrition Information</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p>
-----------------------	---	----------------------

	<p>Introduced by Delegates Niemann, Carter, and Glenn</p> <p>Requiring each public school, beginning in the 2011-2012 school year, to list the number of calories contained in a menu item next to the menu item on a meal menu published by the school.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 03/02/11 – Hearing held by House Ways & Means Committee; no further action Senate – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb1062f.pdf</p>	<p>BCPS currently publishes nutritional data regarding all food products that are used in the school meals program on our Web site. The school menu template would require revision to include calorie information. It is not apparent what specific purpose that the inclusion of this information would provide given the need for BCPS to provide nutritionally balanced school meals.</p>
--	---	---

<p>HB 1283</p>	<p>Public School Buildings - Carbon Monoxide Detection and Warning Equipment</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate Glenn</p> <p>Requiring the construction or remodeling of public school buildings to conform to a specified standard for the installation of carbon monoxide detection and warning equipment for commercial structures; and making the Act an emergency measure.</p> <p>Bill Status: Unfavorable Report; Bill Withdrawn House – 03/17/11 – Hearing; Unfavorable Report issued by House Environmental Matters Committee Bill Withdrawn Senate – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb1283f.pdf</p>	<p>RECOMMENDATION - OPPOSE</p> <p>This bill would impose a mandate on local school systems. With the exception of any funding included in the state’s public school construction allocation, the school system would be required to use capital project funds to pay for the additional equipment required by the bill. This bill is not needed. Staff believe that current building and construction industry professional codes and standards, including the National Fire Protection Association and the International Building Code, provide adequate requirements that monitor various emissions that building equipment may produce.</p>
-----------------------	--	---

<p>HB 1352</p>	<p>Local School Boards - Authority to Impose a Property Tax</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Hixson, Branch, Ivey, Kaiser, Luedtke, and Walker</p> <p>Authorizing county boards of education to impose, by resolution, a property tax to provide funding for public school operation and expenses; providing that the property tax is in addition to the county property tax; providing for the collection and administration of the property tax; requiring the property tax rate to be set by specified county boards at a specified level; requiring specified counties to reduce their property tax rates by a specified amount for specified fiscal years; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass</p>	<p>RECOMMENDATION – NO POSITION</p> <p>This bill would be effective beginning in FY 2013 and would allow local boards of education to impose a property tax to fund public education. The bill would require that a county reduce its property tax rates to offset the amount of revenue raised (the bill does allow a county to provide additional funding to the local board). The bill would impose an administrative burden on a local board involving the remittance of such taxes. MABE anticipates that it will not take a formal position on this bill.</p>
-----------------------	---	--

	<p>House – 03/30/11 – Hearing held by the House Ways & Means Committee; no further action taken Senate – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb1352f.pdf</p>	
--	---	--

<p>SB 6</p>	<p>Optional Retirement Program - State and Participating Governmental Unit Employees - Participation</p> <p>Introduced by Senator Colburn</p> <p>Providing that only individuals serving as Governor on or before January 18, 2011, are eligible for a defined benefit retirement allowance; providing that, on or after July 1, 2011, specified individuals are not eligible to join the Employees' Pension System, the Teachers' Pension System, the State Police Retirement System, the Correctional Officers' Retirement System, the Law Enforcement Officers' Pension System, or the Judges' Retirement System; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass Senate – 02/10/11- Hearing held by the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee; no further action House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0006f.pdf</p>	<p>SUPPORT WITH AMENDMENTS</p> <p>This bill would close the state's Defined Benefit (DB) pension plans to new state employees and teachers hired after June 30, 2011. State employees and teachers hired on or after July 1, 2011, would become members of the Optional Retirement Program (ORP), a Defined Contribution (DC) plan, as a condition of their employment. Current members of the state's DB plans remain in those plans, but they are eligible to transfer to ORP. The bill requires language to clarify all coverage situations.</p>
--------------------	--	--

<p>SB 41</p>	<p>Education - Age for Compulsory Public School Attendance - Exemptions</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Pugh, Garagiola, Jones-Rodwell, King, McFadden, Raskin, Robey, and <u>Stone</u></p> <p>Altering the age at which children are required to attend a public school regularly during the entire school year, subject to specified exceptions; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass Senate – 02/09/11 – Hearing held by the Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee; no further action House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0041f.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This bill would increase the compulsory school attendance age to require a student attend school until age 17. The requirement is subject to certain exceptions, including that the student:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has earned a diploma or GED • Is a special education student who has received a Certificate of Completion • Is being home schooled • Is severely ill and receiving home or hospital instruction • Is married • Is in a military service • Is committed by a court to an institution without an educational program • Is pregnant or a parent and is enrolled in an alternative educational program • Attends an alternative educational
---------------------	---	--

		<p>program.</p> <p>The bill creates an unfunded mandate because it modifies the compulsory attendance age requirement without providing any funding or other resources. This bill was introduced during last session (passed in the Senate but not in the House); the accompanying fiscal note estimated that it would cost \$48.8 million statewide to increase the age of compulsory attendance.</p>
--	--	--

<p>SB 141 HB 299</p>	<p>Baltimore County - Hybrid School Board - Election and Appointment of Members</p> <p>Introduced by Senators <u>Zirkin, Brochin, and Getty</u></p> <p>Introduced by Delegates <u>Morhaim, Aumann, Stein, and Szeliga</u></p> <p>Establishing a procedure for the election of specified members of the Baltimore County Board of Education and specifying that they reside in and be elected from specified districts; establishing a term of office for the elected members; altering provisions relating to the appointed members of the county board; providing for the removal of members under specified circumstances; providing a procedure for the filling of a vacancy for an elected member; providing for the election of the chair and vice chair of the county board; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Senate Bill (Did Not Pass), House Bill (Withdrawn)</p> <p>Senate Bill: Senate 03/14/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee House – No action taken</p> <p>House Bill: House – 03/23/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by House Ways & Means Committee, Bill Withdrawn Senate – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0141f.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>The significant changes proposed in this bill are not needed. The current Board structure has effectively served BCPS’s stakeholders for many years because of the willingness of dedicated volunteers to serve as Board members. Current Board members are able to focus on the best interests of our 104,000 students based upon a systemwide, comprehensive approach to K-12 public education. Creating a Board structure composed mostly of elected members would unnecessarily politicize an efficient process that must remain focused on ensuring sound education policy for all Baltimore County students, avoiding the potential for narrow geographic focus and special interest groups’ agendas. The bill’s provisions include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates a combined elected and appointed Board that would consist of the current total of 12 members composed of four appointed from the county at large, seven elected on a nonpartisan basis (one from each Councilmanic district), and one student member appointed from the county at large (Note: the 2010 bill would have created a 15-member board, with seven elected and seven appointed members, one from each Councilmanic district, and a student member). • Current Board structure would be retained until the first Monday in December 2014, following the general election to be held in November 2014. • Terms of office would be four years, beginning on the first Monday in December 2014 and continuing until a successor is elected and qualified; initial terms of office for elected and appointed
--	--	---

		<p>members are not staggered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board members will select a chair and vice chair annually (at first December meeting from among current Board members). • Board members are entitled to an annual compensation as provided in the county's budget as well as allowances for travel and other expenses. • Effective date is October 1, 2011.
--	--	---

<p>SB 315 HB 932</p>	<p>Building Opportunities for All Students and Teachers (BOAST) in Maryland Tax Credit</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Colburn, DeGrange, Brinkley, Currie, Dyson, Glassman, Jacobs, Mathias, McFadden, Peters, and Reilly</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Proctor, Afzali, Anderson, <u>Aumann</u>, Bates, Beidle, Bohanan, <u>Boteler</u>, <u>Bromwell</u>, <u>Cluster</u>, Conway, Donoghue, Dwyer, Eckardt, Elliott, <u>Frank</u>, Frush, Gaines, George, Glass, Haddaway-Riccio, Haynes, Hershey, Hogan, Hough, <u>Impallaria</u>, James, Jameson, <u>Kach</u>, Kipke, Krebs, McConkey, McHale, W. Miller, <u>Minnick</u>, Mitchell, O'Donnell, Oaks, Parrott, Pena-Melnyk, Ready, Rosenberg, Schuh, Schulz, Serafini, Sophocleus, Stocksdale, Stukes, <u>Szeliga</u>, Tarrant, V. Turner, Valderrama, Valentino-Smith, Vallario, Vitale, Walker, <u>Weir</u>, and Wood</p> <p>Providing a tax credit against the State income tax for contributions made to an eligible educational scholarship organization or an eligible innovative educational organization; requiring the State Department of Education to administer the tax credit; requiring specified entities to submit an application to be an eligible organization by January 1 of each year; establishing the Building Opportunities for All Students and Teachers Reserve Fund; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Bills Did Not Pass</p> <p>Senate Bill: Senate – 03/09/11 – Hearing held by the Senate Budget & Taxation Committee; no further action House – No action taken</p> <p>House Bill: House - 03/16/11 Hearing held by the House Ways & Means Committee; no further action Senate – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0315f.pdf</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">OPPOSE</p> <p>This Bill has been introduced in a number of prior General Assembly Sessions. In 2010, the Senate Bill (SB 385) passed the Senate by a vote of 30-17. The cross-filed Bill (HB 932) was heard in the House Ways and Means Committee but was not formally acted on by the Committee subsequent to the hearing. The bill would create a tax credit for contributions to eligible nonprofit organizations to provide scholarships to students and teachers or grants to public schools for innovative programs. The bill would reduce available tax funds to support public education. The Board has opposed similar bills that have been introduced in past Legislative Sessions.</p>
--	---	---

<p>SB 501</p>	<p>Election Law - Change of Primary Election Dates and Compliance with Federal MOVE Act</p> <p>Introduced by Senator Dyson and The President (By Request - Administration) and Senators Garagiola and Jacobs</p> <p>Altering the dates for primary elections in the State; making changes to the State election law to comply with the federal Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment (MOVE) Act, as specified; altering deadlines for the filing of specified certificates of candidacy, petitions, certificates of withdrawal, and certificates of designation of candidacy; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass</p> <p>Note: Similar bills (HB 671/SB 820) <i>Federal Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment (MOVE) Act Compliance</i> Passed and are Awaiting Action by the Governor</p>	<p>RECOMMENDATION – SUPPORT WITH AMENDMENTS</p> <p>Summary of HB 671: This law will change the dates of the gubernatorial and presidential primary elections. The gubernatorial primary election is moved from the second Tuesday after the first Monday in September to the last Tuesday in June. The presidential primary election is moved from the second Tuesday in February to the first Tuesday in April. It will be effective October 1, 2011.</p> <p>This bill as originally introduced would have changed the day for the gubernatorial primary election from the second Tuesday after the first Monday in September to the second Tuesday in July (with no impact on the regular school calendar) and would change the primary election day from the second Tuesday in February to the first Tuesday in March. However, in recent Senate Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committee action, the gubernatorial primary election day was changed to the third Tuesday in June. This is problematic for many school systems because the scheduling of the end of the school year. MABE and several school systems are working to request that the Committee’s amendment be revised to conduct the gubernatorial primary on the fourth Tuesday in June, eliminating conflict with the school systems’ calendars.</p>
----------------------	---	--

<p>SB 617 HB 812</p>	<p>Public Schools - Dating Violence - Policy and Disciplinary Standards</p> <p>Introduced by Senator Manno</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Kramer, Dumais, Hucker, Luedtke, Reznik, Simmons, Valderrama, and Waldstreicher</p> <p>Adding dating violence to provisions of law requiring the reporting of bullying, harassment, and intimidation by a county board of education; specifying who may report incidents of dating violence; requiring specified forms, reports, policies and educational programs to include incidents of dating violence; etc.</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>The proposed additional reporting requirement based on “dating relationship” could be added to the existing Harassment or Intimidation (Bullying) Incident School Investigation Form that MSDE and BCPS use to record necessary information based upon prior legislation enacted. This proposed change does not require legislative action, potentially reducing any additional administrative resources required that could result from additional legislation.</p> <p>BCPS recognizes that violence in dating relationships can also be considered a type of bullying, intimidation, or harassment. Dating</p>
--	---	--

	<p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass</p> <p>Senate Bill:</p> <p>Senate – 03/21/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by the Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee</p> <p>House – No action taken</p> <p>House Bill: House - 03/21/11 – Third Reading Passed (with Amendments)</p> <p>Senate – 04/07/11 – Unfavorable report issued by the Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0617t.pdf</p>	<p>violence can also be of a serious physical nature, e.g., assault/battery, which would require reporting to and the involvement of county police.</p>
--	---	---

<p>SB 626</p>	<p>Public School Construction Funding Reform Act of 2011</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Glassman, Colburn, and Forehand</p> <p>Repealing the Interagency Committee on School Construction; altering the authority of the State Superintendent to approve specified public school construction projects; altering the requirement under which specified entities may hold title to specified property; altering the definition of alternative financing methods; requiring public school construction funds allocated in the annual budget to be distributed to local boards using a specified formula; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Unfavorable Report; Bill Withdrawn</p> <p>Senate – 03/25/11 Unfavorable Report issued by the Senate Budget & Taxation Committee; Bill Withdrawn</p> <p>House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0626f.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>The changes proposed under this bill are not needed. The primary effect of this bill would be to eliminate the existence and role of the Interagency Committee on School Construction (IAC) and place responsibility for the State's capital construction project within MSDE. The existing IAC process has worked well and has supported BCPS in its efforts to meet the most critical of its facilities-related needs. BCPS staff has maintained an effective working relationship with the IAC and this has been helpful in ensuring that the IAC staff is familiar with BCPS' facilities inventory, and its renovation, and construction requirements.</p>
----------------------	--	--

<p>SB 628</p>	<p>State Health and Retirement Benefits - Public Employees and Retirees</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This is a very complex bill, consisting of 43 pages. It contains some of the same provisions that were included in a bill that was introduced</p>
----------------------	--	---

	<p>Introduced by Senator Miller</p> <p>Altering the eligibility requirements for State employees receiving health benefits under the State Employee and Retiree Health and Welfare Benefits Program; requiring that by July 1, 2020, the State discontinue a specified prescription drug benefit plan available to specified retirees; requiring specified local employers to pay a specified portion of employer contributions for specified members of the Teachers' Retirement System or the Teachers' Pension System; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass Senate – 03/02/11 – Hearing held by the Senate Budget & Taxation Committee; no further action House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0628f.pdf</p>	<p>in the 2010 Session and will similarly and adversely affect local governments and the funding available for public education. This bill would shift the cost of various state pension systems to local governments. Included in the bill is a proposed shift of teacher pension costs that would be based on the aggregate annual salaries of teachers rather than a fixed percentage of the employer contribution rate. This shift would begin on July 1, 2012, starting at 2% of the aggregate annual salaries and increase to 6% in FY 2014 and to 10% for FY 2015 and FY 2016. (note: the rate of the proposed shift relating to teacher pensions is higher than the proposed rate relating to other employee groups, e.g., for community college employees, the rate starts at 1% and increases to 5% in FY 2015). Beginning in FY 2017, changes in the required contribution rate will be determined by the Pension System Board of Trustees.</p>
--	---	--

<p>SB 676</p>	<p>County Boards of Education - Alien Students</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Brinkley, Colburn, Simonaire, and <u>Stone</u></p> <p>Requiring each county board of education, on or before March 1 of each year, to make a good faith effort to provide the governing body of the county with the total number of students enrolled in the public school system of the county whose presence in the United States cannot be reasonably documented; and prohibiting a county board from associating a student's race, appearance, language, or name with citizenship or immigration status.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass Senate – 03/21/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by the Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0676f.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>Federal law requires that public schools must provide a free and appropriate education to age-appropriate students regardless of whether they are considered to be documented or undocumented with regard to immigration or citizenship status. This bill could result in school systems being placed in a difficult position when interacting with a parent/guardian of a student seeking public school admission, raising potential concern for them regarding their individual citizenship or immigration status.</p>
----------------------	---	--

<p>SB 679</p>	<p>Vehicle Laws - Overtaking and Passing School Vehicles - School Bus Monitoring Cameras</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Brinkley, Madaleno, Middleton,</p>	<p>NO POSITION</p> <p>This law would allow the local Board of Education to use monitoring cameras</p>
----------------------	--	--

<p>Rosapepe, and Young</p> <p>Authorizing a county board of education, in consultation with a local law enforcement agency, to place school bus monitoring cameras on county school buses for the purpose of recording motor vehicles committing violations relating to overtaking and passing school vehicles; requiring a school bus operator to give a recording of the violations to a local law enforcement agency; requiring recordings made by a school bus monitoring camera to include specified images and information about the violations; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Passed and Enrolled; Awaiting Action by the Governor Senate – 03/30/11 –Third Reading Passed (with Amendments); 04/08/11 – Senate concurred with House Amendments and Third Reading Passed House – 04/08/11 - Passed Third Reading (with Amendments) http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0679f.pdf</p>	<p>installed on school buses only if authorized first by local government. If approved for use, a vehicle violating the law by failing to properly stop for a school bus operating its flashing red lights will be issued a citation with a civil law penalty that will be paid to local government subdivision. A driver issued a citation would have the right to contest the matter in the District Court of Maryland. A school bus operator would be required to provide information regarding the moving violation to the local law enforcement agency. This law will be effective October 1, 2011.</p>
--	--

<p>SB 687 HB 998</p>	<p>Militia - Employment for Military Spouses - Teachers, Health Care Practitioners, and Business Occupations and Professions</p> <p>Introduced by Senator Peters</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Valentino-Smith, Boteler, Conaway, Dwyer, K. Kelly, McComas, and Minnick</p> <p>Requiring the Adjutant General or the Adjutant General's designee to assist military spouses in finding specified employment; requiring teachers who have achieved tenure or an equivalent level of certification or licensure to be granted tenure in the State under specified circumstances; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status – Both Bills Passed and Enrolled; Awaiting Action by the Governor</p> <p>Senate Bill: Senate – 03/25/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments; 04/08/11 Senate concurred with House Amendments, Third Reading Passed House – 03/24/11 – First Reading; 04/07/11 - Third Reading Passed with Amendments</p> <p>House Bill: House – 03/23/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments; House concurred with Senate Amendments, Third Reading Passed Senate – 03/25/11 – First Reading; 04/05/11 Third Reading Passed (with Amendments)</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0687f.pdf</p>	<p>OPPOSE</p> <p>This law was substantially amended. As enacted, the only direct impact on education is that the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) will be required to develop an informational form that provides information to the spouses of military personnel as to how teacher certification and tenure may be obtained in Maryland and to post that information on MSDE's Web site. This law will be effective on October 1, 2011.</p>
--	--	---

<p>SB 771</p>	<p>Education - Student-Athletes - Concussions</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Conway, Colburn, Currie, Ferguson, Forehand, Frosh, Kelley, Klausmeier, Madaleno, Manno, Mathias, McFadden, Middleton, Montgomery, Pinsky, Pugh, Ramirez, Raskin, Young, and Zirkin</p> <p>Requiring the State Department of Education, in collaboration with specified organizations and individuals, to develop policies and implement a program to provide awareness on the nature and risk of concussions; requiring county boards of education to</p>	<p>SUPPORT WITH AMENDMENTS</p> <p>This law will require the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) to develop and implement a program to provide information regarding concussion awareness to students and youth in youth sports programs as separate information sheet or as part of the program registration. The individual or the parent or guardian of the individual must acknowledge receipt of the information. The law will be effective</p>
----------------------	--	--

<p>provide a specified information sheet to specified individuals; requiring the removal from play of specified athletes; prohibiting the return to play of specified athletes until specified conditions are met; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Bill Passed and Enrolled; Awaiting Action by the Governor Senate – 03/09/11 – Hearing; 03/29/11 Third Reading Passed; 04/08/11 – Senate concurred with House Amendments House – 04/07/11 - Third Reading Passed (with Amendments)</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0771e.pdf</p>	<p>July 1, 2011.</p>
---	----------------------

<p>Education - Open Space on Public School Property - Planting and Maintaining Gardens</p> <p>Introduced by Senator Raskin</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Luedtke, Alston, Carr, Clippinger, Frick, Frush, Ivey, Kaiser, A. Kelly, Kipke, A. Miller, Mizeur, Morhaim, Niemann, S. Robinson, Rosenberg, F. Turner, and Zucker</p> <p>Requiring county boards of education to encourage the use of open space on public school property for the purpose of planting and maintaining gardens; requiring specified schools and community groups to submit specified plans to the county superintendent; requiring specified schools and community groups to limit the application of pesticides under specified circumstances; authorizing county boards to incorporate the use of school gardens into specified curriculum guides and to use specified produce in school cafeterias; etc.</p> <p>SB 791 HB 528</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass</p> <p>Senate Bill:</p> <p>Senate – 03/14/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by the Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee</p> <p>House – No action taken</p> <p>House Bill: House – 03/25/11 – Third Reading Passed with Amendments</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">OPPOSE</p> <p>Implementation of the requirements of this bill would increase both costs to the school systems and administrative workload. The bill would require BCPS to review plans for and allow the use of board property for the planting of gardens. Potential concerns raised by the bill include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs associated with the likelihood that school facilities crews would need to maintain the gardens if the requesting school or community group failed to properly maintain a garden once planted, including irrigation-related needs and any garden-related debris disposal • Lack of definition of what constitutes a “community group,” BCPS would need to monitor prescribed use to ensure proper safeguarding of board property, including potential questions regarding use of pesticides (although the bill language would “limit” such use) • Potential exposure to any injury resulting from such use.
---	---

	<p>Senate – 03/25/11 – First Reading; Unfavorable Report issued by Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0791f.pdf</p>	
--	--	--

<p>SB 994</p>	<p>Sales and Use Tax - Alcoholic Beverages</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Jones-Rodwell and Madaleno</p> <p>Increasing the rate of the sales and use tax imposed on the sale of an alcoholic beverage from 6% to 7% for fiscal year 2012, to 8% for fiscal year 2013, and to 9% for each fiscal year thereafter; requiring the Comptroller to distribute the revenue from the rate increase to the General Fund of the State; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Bill Passed and Enrolled; Awaiting Action by the Governor Senate – 03/28/11 –Third Reading Passed (with Amendments); 04/07/11 – Senate concurred with House Amendments House – 04/08/11 – Third Reading Passed (with Amendment)</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0994e.pdf</p>	<p>RECOMMENDATION – NO POSITION</p> <p>This law will increase the state’s sales tax rate on alcoholic beverages from 6% to 9% in FY 2012 and continuing. BCPS is expected to receive about \$ 7M in funding from these tax receipts to be used for school construction.</p>
----------------------	--	--

SECTION 2: BOE INFORMATION ONLY

BILL	TITLE / SYNOPSIS	NO POSITION REQUIRED
<p>HB 79 SB 772</p>	<p>Task Force to Study the Creation of a Maryland Center for School Safety</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate Walker</p> <p>Introduced by Senator Conway</p> <p>Establishing a Task Force to Study the Creation of a Maryland Center for School Safety; providing for the membership, chair, and staffing of the Task Force; prohibiting a member of the Task Force from receiving compensation but authorizing reimbursement of specified expenses; requiring the Task Force to study and make recommendations regarding specified matters; requiring the Task Force to report its findings and recommendations to the Governor and the General Assembly on or before July 1, 2012; and terminating the Act.</p> <p>Bill Status: Both Bills Passed and Enrolled; awaiting action by the Governor</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0079e.pdf</p>	<p>The bill would create a 19-member task force that would consider the creation of a school safety center to share information and develop training and materials relating to a wide variety of issues regarding school safety. The task force would report to the Governor and the General Assembly no later than July 1, 2012.</p>

<p>HB 530 SB 609</p>	<p>Public Charter Schools - Occupation and Use of School Sites and Buildings</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Rosenberg, Ivey, Kaiser, and Luedtke</p> <p>Introduced by Senators Ferguson, Benson, Colburn, Conway, Currie, <u>Kelley</u>, King, McFadden, Montgomery, Pugh, and Young</p> <p>Requiring a county board of education to inform public charter schools in the county if school sites or buildings have been determined by the county board to be no longer needed for school purposes under specified circumstances; requiring a county board to establish a specified procedure; prohibiting a public charter school occupying and using a school site or building from selling, disposing of, or otherwise transferring the school site or building; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: HB 530 – Did Not Pass; SB 609 – Passed</p>	<p>The law would be effective July 1, 2011. It exempts any portion of a building or property occupied and used by a public charter school from property taxes provided that the public charter school occupies/uses the building and operates as a nonprofit organization. The law also establishes new procedures for the disposition of excess school property and buildings, including procedures that, with the approval of a county council or county commissioners, make excess school property and buildings potentially available for use by charter schools in the county.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>and Enrolled; Awaiting Action by the Governor</p> <p>House Bill: House - 03/02/11 – Hearing; 04/07/11- Third Reading Passed (with Amendments) Senate – Re-referred to the Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee, no further action taken</p> <p>Senate Bill: Senate –03/24/11 – Third Reading Passed (with Amendments) House – 03/22/11 – First Reading; 04/06/11 - Third Reading Passed</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0530f.pdf</p>	
--	--	--

<p>HB 628 SB 241</p>	<p>Commission to Study Streamlining and Increasing the Efficiency of the Procurement Process</p> <p>Introduced by Delegate <u>Morhaim</u></p> <p>Introduced by Senators Pugh, Conway, Currie, Garagiola, Glassman, Jones-Rodwell, <u>Kelley</u>, King, Madaleno, McFadden, Pinsky, and Stone</p> <p>Establishing a Commission to Study Streamlining and Increasing the Efficiency of the Procurement Process; providing for the membership, purposes, and staffing of the Commission; requiring the Commission to study specified matters; requiring the Commission to report to specified persons by a specified date; providing for the termination of the Act; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: House Bill Withdrawn; Senate Bill Did Not Pass</p> <p>Senate Bill: 02/15/11 – Hearing held by the Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee House – No action taken</p> <p>House Bill: House – 03/08/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by the Health & Government Operations; Bill Withdrawn Senate – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0628f.pdf</p>	<p>This bill would create a 22-member commission based upon the appointment criteria provided in the bill. There is no specific inclusion of any representative from a local board, a local school system, or school procurement official on the listed appointments.</p>
<p>HB 644 SB 492</p>	<p>Education - County School Board Budgets - Transparency</p>	<p>This bill would have statewide impact. It is similar to HB 160 as originally introduced,</p>

	<p>Introduced by Delegates Valentino-Smith, Alston, Frush, Gaines, Healey, Hubbard, Ivey, Kaiser, A. Miller, Rosenberg, and Ross</p> <p>Introduced by Senator Peters</p> <p>Requiring each county board of education to develop and operate a single searchable Web site that is accessible to the public and includes annual school budget data; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass</p> <p>House Bill: House - 03/09/11 – Hearing held by House Ways & Means Committee Senate – No action taken</p> <p>Senate Bill: Senate – 03/17/11 – Unfavorable Report issued by Senate Budget and Taxation Committee House – No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb0644f.pdf</p>	<p>which was enacted and applies to Baltimore County only.</p>
--	---	--

<p>HB 674</p>	<p>Education - Human Trafficking - Awareness, Training, and Distribution of Materials</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Cullison, Luedtke, Anderson, Arora, Aumann, Barkley, Barnes, Barve, Beidle, Bobo, Braveboy, Bromwell, Carr, Carter, Clippinger, Dumais, Feldman, Frick, Frush, Gilchrist, Gutierrez, Haddaway-Riccio, Hough, Hucker, Ivey, Kaiser, A. Kelly, Kipke, Kramer, Lee, McComas, McDermott, McDonough, McHale, A. Miller, W. Miller, Mitchell, O'Donnell, Pena-Melnyk, Ready, Reznik, S. Robinson, Simmons, Smigiel, Summers, Tarrant, F. Turner, Waldstreicher, Washington, and Zucker</p> <p>Requiring the State Department of Education, in collaboration with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, to provide awareness and training for Directors of Student Services in local education agencies on human trafficking; and requiring the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, in consultation with experts in the field of human trafficking prevention, to provide to the State Department of Education specified information and materials on human trafficking.</p> <p>Bill Status: Passed and Signed into Law by the Governor on 04/12/11 House – 03/17/11 – Third Reading Passed (with Amendments) Senate – 03/30/11 – Hearing held by the House Ways and</p>	<p>This law requires the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), in collaboration with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH), to provide awareness and training regarding human trafficking for directors of student services in local school systems, including strategies for the prevention of trafficking of children. DHMH, in consultation with relevant experts, must provide MSDE with resource information and materials for distribution that describe local, State, and national resources available to students, parents, counselors, and school personnel to obtain information regarding human trafficking. The law was signed by the Governor on April 12, 2011 and is effective on July 1, 2011.</p>
----------------------	---	--

	<p>Means Committee; 03/31/11 – Third Reading Passed</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/chapters_noln/Ch_137_hb0674T.pdf</p>	
--	---	--

<p>HB 1137</p>	<p>Vehicle Laws - Speed Monitoring Systems - Warning Signs</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Healey, Beidle, Frush, Glenn, Holmes, and Niemann</p> <p>Altering requirements for specified signs placed for the purpose of alerting drivers to the presence of speed monitoring systems; requiring specified speed monitoring system warning signs to be placed in close proximity to specified signs designating a school zone; requiring speed monitoring system warning signs to be in accordance with specifications and regulations; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 03/20/11 – Third Reading Passed Senate – 03/29/11 – Hearing held by the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee; no further action</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb1137f.pdf</p>	<p>The bill requires the installation of a sign advising motorists of the presence of a speed monitoring system (approved by local government) in a school zone. This is similar to the existing signage requirement for use of such systems in a construction work zone. 2009 legislation was enacted to expand statewide the authorization for the use of speed monitoring systems to school zones.</p>
-----------------------	---	---

<p>HB 1324</p>	<p>Wicomico County - Board of Education - Selection of Members - Straw Ballot</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Conway, Cane, Eckardt, McDermott, and Otto</p> <p>Requiring that a question be placed on the ballot in Wicomico County at the November general election of 2012 to determine the sense of the voters of the county on the issue of changing the method of selecting members of the Wicomico County Board of Education; and providing for carrying out of the straw ballot.</p> <p>Bill Status: Did Not Pass House – 03/23/11 – Hearing held by the House Ways & Means Committee; Third Reading Passed (with Amendments) Senate: No action taken</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/hb/hb1324f.pdf</p>	<p>The bill requires that a nonbinding referendum be held in Wicomico County in November 2012 regarding whether voters desire to change the method of selection of members of the Wicomico County Board of Education from the current process of appointment by the Governor to election by the voters. This Board is one of five schools boards that are appointed (Caroline County will become a hybrid Board with the November 2012 election).</p>
-----------------------	---	---

<p>SB 284</p>	<p>Education - High School Graduation Records - Collection, Maintenance, Analysis, and Reporting</p> <p>Introduced by Chair, Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committee (By Request - Departmental - Education)</p> <p>Altering the Maryland high school graduation rate formula and ethnic and racial categories in the formula to be consistent with specified federal regulations and guidelines; defining "four-year adjusted cohort;" and repealing an annual reporting requirement.</p> <p>Bill Status: Passed and Signed into Law by the Governor on 04/12/11 House– 03/10/11 – Third Reading Passed Senate – 03/30/11 – Hearing held by the Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs; 04/03/11 Third Reading Passed</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/billfile/sb0284.htm</p>	<p>This law modifies the Maryland high school graduation rate formula to be consistent with federal regulations and guidelines. In addition, the ethnic and racial categories for which graduation rates must be reported are altered to align with federal requirements. The law also repeals the annual graduation rate reporting requirement. The law takes effect on July 1, 2011.</p>
----------------------	---	--

<p>SB 755 HB 646</p>	<p>Task Force to Study High School Dropout Rates of Persons in the Criminal Justice System</p> <p>Introduced by Senator Pugh</p> <p>Introduced by Delegates Braveboy and Pena-Melnyk</p> <p>Establishing the Task Force to Study High School Dropout Rates of Persons in the Criminal Justice System; providing for the membership and staffing of the Task Force; requiring the Governor to designate the chair of the Task Force; providing that a member of the Task Force may not receive compensation as a member of the Task Force but is entitled to specified reimbursement; requiring the Task Force to study high school dropout statistics of specified persons in the criminal justice system; etc.</p> <p>Bill Status: Senate Bill Passed; Awaiting Action by the Governor Senate Bill: Senate - 03/15/11 – Hearing held by the Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee; 03/29/11 Third Reading Passed (with Amendments) House – 04/06/11 - Third Reading Passed</p>	<p>The bill creates an 8-member task force that will study the high school dropout statistics related to individuals who have been incarcerated, arrested, or otherwise processed through the criminal justice system and report its findings on or before December 31, 2011.</p>
--	---	---

	<p>House Bill: House – 03/02/11 – Hearing held by the House Ways and Means Committee; 04/06/11 Third Reading Passed House Bill: House – 04/03/11 - Third Reader Passed Senate – 04/11/11- Favorable Report issued by the Senate education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/bills/sb/sb0755t.pdf</p>	
--	--	--

2011 Maryland State Retirement Changes



Background

- Severe National Economic Downturn
 - State pension systems (teachers, employees, state police, judges, and law enforcement officers) had three straight years of negative investment returns.
 - Year ending 06/30/10 - positive return of 14%.
- Structural Deficit - Maryland State pension plans funded at only 64.1% of total liability as of 06/30/10
- Teachers fund – largest – state’s payment of employer required contribution in FY 2011 is \$919 million, increases in FY 2012 to \$1 billion.

Background

- The Budget Reconciliation and Financing Act of 2011 (HB 72) was introduced as companion legislation to HB 70, the Budget Bill for Fiscal Year 2012. These bills formed the basis for the Governor's Operating Budget proposals (and requisite fund transfers for the balance of FY 2011) submitted to the General Assembly.

Background

- As originally proposed, HB 72 included a number of cost-saving measures affecting current employees, retirees, and new hires, including:
 - SHIFT 50% OF THE FUNDING OF TEACHERS PENSION AND SOCIAL SECURITY COSTS (EMPLOYER'S CONTRIBUTIONS) FROM THE STATE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, SPECIFICALLY TO THE LOCAL BOARDS OF EDUCATION.
 - Shift to be phased in over a three year period.

(Note: proposal reflected a pension commission report)

Background

- As this bill worked its way through the legislative process, differing proposals were approved. Final changes were agreed to in a joint conference committee and approved by both the Senate and House.
 - Did NOT shift the cost of teachers pensions to local governments BUT did create an administrative fee of \$162.77 for each employee covered by the state pension systems to be paid by local government to the state retirement agency.

Next Steps ???

- Public Employees' and Retirees' Benefit Sustainability Commission.
 - Created by 2010 legislation.
 - Eight member commission began its meetings in October 2010.
 - Interim recommendations submitted December 15, 2010.
 - Final report due June 30, 2011.
- Special Session of the General Assembly Fall 2011 - congressional redistricting

Changes Effective 07-01-11

Current Employees

- Contribution changes from 5% to 7%.
- Pension multiplier remains at 1.8% per year of service.
- COLA – service after 6/30/11.
- COLA – 2.5% maximum if System rate of return achieves a minimum of 7.75%.
- COLA – 1.0% cap if rate of return not achieved.

Changes Effective 07-01-11

New Hires

- Contribution 7% - Pension multiplier reduced from 1.8% to 1.5%
- Vesting period – increased from 5 to 10 years
- Avg. Final Comp. (AFC) – from 3 yrs to 5 yrs
- Early retirement – minimum age increased from 55 to 60 years
- Early retirement – years of service increased from 10 to 15 years

Changes Effective 07-01-11

New Hires

- Normal retirement – Age minimum increased
from 62 to 65 years
- Normal retirement – years of service increased
from 5 to 10 years **OR**
- Normal retirement – Age + years of service
= 90

Pension Eligible

Next Steps

- Review your MSRA statement
- Estimates will be accurate
- MSRA backlog on pension estimates – 16 weeks
- Call the Office of Benefits, Leaves, and Retirement to request a Pension packet of information
- Schedule a 1 on 1 conference with BCPS Retirement Coordinators to begin the retirement process – Call x 8949

Questions

Contact information

- www.sra.state.md.us - 410-625-5555
- Jim Aldon – jaldon2@bcps.org - x 8948
- Maria Burgess – mburgess2@bcps.org – x 8016
- Ritchlyn Richards- rrichards@bcps.org - x 8949
- Audrey Haskins – ahaskins@bcps.org – x 8949
- www.bcps.org/offices/benefits

- Edward Novak – enovak@bcps.org – x 4300

Government and External Relations